

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ ISSN 2307-8235 (online) IUCN 2008: T10241A110342315 Scope: Global Language: English

# Homopus signatus, Speckled Dwarf Tortoise

#### Assessment by: Baard, E.H.W. & Hofmeyr, M.D.



View on www.iucnredlist.org

Citation: Baard, E.H.W. & Hofmeyr, M.D. 2017. *Homopus signatus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017*: e.T10241A110342315. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-1.RLTS.T10241A110342315.en</u>

#### Copyright: © 2017 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

*Reproduction of this publication for resale, reposting or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from the copyright holder. For further details see <u>Terms of Use</u>.* 

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species<sup>™</sup> is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN</u> <u>Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>. The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State</u> <u>University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.

If you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with <u>feedback</u> so that we can correct or extend the information provided.

### Taxonomy

| Kingdom  | Phylum   | Class    | Order      | Family       |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|--------------|
| Animalia | Chordata | Reptilia | Testudines | Testudinidae |

Taxon Name: Homopus signatus (Gmelin, 1789)

#### Synonym(s):

- Chersobius peersi Archer, 1968
- Chersobius signatus Hewitt, 1937
- Testudo signata Gmelin, 1789

#### Common Name(s):

- English: Speckled Dwarf Tortoise, Speckled Cape Tortoise, Speckled Padloper, Speckled Tortoise
- French: Homopode marqué
- Spanish: Tortuga Manchada

#### **Taxonomic Notes:**

Two subspecies have been recognised, namely *Homopus signatus signatus* and *H. s. cafer*, with a zone of intergradation in the eastern part of the range in the western Great Karoo (Boycott 1986, 1989; Bour 1988; Iverson 1992; Branch 1998; Boycott and Bourquin 2000; Loehr 2008). However, a range-wide study by Daniels *et al.* (2010) evaluated the genetic distinctiveness of the two putative subspecies as well as intergrades and found limited differentiation within the species. It was concluded that *H. s. cafer* is not a valid taxon, rendering *H. signatus* monotypic. However, the status of a population from the Pofadder area requires further investigation (Daniels *et al.* 2010). Colour patterns previously used to distinguish the two subspecies appear to be related to crypsis on different substrates.

### **Assessment Information**

| Red List Category & Criteria: | Vulnerable A2acd <u>ver 3.1</u> |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Year Published:               | 2017                            |  |  |
| Date Assessed:                | May 16, 2013                    |  |  |

#### Justification:

This species is assessed as Vulnerable as it has undergone an inferred population reduction of over 30% in the past three generations (75 years) due to anthropogenic land transformation, where the causes of destruction may not have ceased, based on direct observation [A2a], a decline in AOO, EOO and habitat quality [A2c], and actual levels of exploitation [A2d]. These declines are considered likely to continue into the future.

#### **Previously Published Red List Assessments**

1996 – Lower Risk/near threatened (LR/nt) http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.1996.RLTS.T10241A3184678.en

## **Geographic Range**

#### **Range Description:**

Endemic to South Africa, occurring mainly along the West Coast region of the Western Cape and Northern Cape, from Piketberg and around Citrusdal in the south, northwards across the Olifants River into the Namaqualand Hardeveld to the Springbok-Steinkopf area. Eastwards, the distribution reaches as far inland as the Klipwerf-Loeriesfontein-Calvinia area of the Roggeveld-Bokkeveld region in the Northern Cape. The most northerly records are from the Richtersveld (Bauer and Branch 2003 [2001]) and from just north of Pofadder (Branch *et al.* 2007). Griffin (2003) did not substantiate any *Homopus signatus* records from Namibia, including a record from the Fish River Canyon Park (Boycott and Bourquin 2000). Earlier records of this species from Namibia (Mertens 1955, 1971) are referable to *H. solus* (Branch 2007).

#### **Country Occurrence:**

Native: South Africa (Northern Cape Province, Western Cape)

## Population

No information on population size is currently available, but there is evidence of a population decline. **Current Population Trend:** Decreasing

### Habitat and Ecology (see Appendix for additional information)

Occurs predominantly in the winter rainfall region of the northwestern Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes along the West Coast and adjacent inland of South Africa. Found from a few metres above sea level on the West Coast to elevations of around 1,000 m in the interior at Loeriesfontein-Calvinia and the Cederberg Range (Boycott 1989). Shows a particular preference for rocky terrain, which includes typical Namaqualand and Hardeveld granite koppies (hills) in the north, and typical Sandveld and Cederberg sandstone koppies and rocky ridges in the south. Occurs in low to medium-high Namaqualand succulent shrubland (blomveld) and heuweltjieveld, and fynbos and strandveld shrub vegetation, both in the Succulent Karoo and Fynbos biomes. Prefers to shelter in rock crevices or under medium to large boulders and rock slabs, a behaviour that provides protection against temperature extremes and predation.

Systems: Terrestrial

### **Use and Trade**

Some illegal off-take has taken place during the past decades for the pet trade. The extent and trend of this is unknown.

#### **Threats** (see Appendix for additional information)

Listed as Restricted by Boycott (1988) and as Lower Risk/near threatened in the 1996 IUCN global listing (IUCN 1996). Currently considered Vulnerable, mainly due to human-induced habitat degradation and destruction and the international reptile pet trade. Observations and focused research throughout the known range indicate that this species is intolerant of habitat modification. There appears to be a strong correlation between population status and habitat quality. Severe habitat fragmentation has resulted from extensive agricultural development throughout the range and especially in the Sandveld region. This includes the irreversible alteration of the inter-koppie (small hill) habitat—a zone that probably plays an important role in inter-population gene flow. Overgrazing by domestic stock, especially goats, further degrades and threatens remaining natural koppie habitats. There is illegal collection for export to the international pet trade, although this is infrequent. Midgley *et al.* (2005) recorded the imminent threat and potential impact of global climate change on the western parts of South Africa. Loehr (2008) clearly demonstrated that the expected changes in rainfall pattern and temperature across the range is likely to severely impact growth rates and fecundity of individuals, and consequently the survival of the species.

### **Conservation Actions** (see Appendix for additional information)

This species is listed on CITES Appendix II.

Conservation actions recommended include:

• Continue research into the life history of the species.

• Develop a species Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP-S).

• Cultivate conservation stewardship arrangements that include more natural habitat (throughout the range) in formal conservation arrangements.

• Be vigilant for illegal collection of specimens for the pet trade.

## Credits

- Assessor(s): Baard, E.H.W. & Hofmeyr, M.D.
- Reviewer(s): Bauer, A., Rhodin, A.G.J. & van Dijk, P.P.

## **Bibliography**

Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J. and de Villiers, M.S. (eds). 2014. *Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Suricata 1. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Bauer, A.M. and Branch, W.R. 2003 [2001]. The herpetofauna of the Richtersveld National Park and the adjacent northern Richtersveld, Northern Cape Province, Republic of South Africa. *Herpetological Natural History* 8: 111-160.

Bour, R. 1988. Taxonomic and nomenclatural status of *Homopus signatus* (Gmelin, 1789) (Reptilia: Chelonii). *Journal of the Herpetological Association of Africa* 35: 1-7.

Boycott, R.C. 1986. A review of *Homopus signatus* (Schoepff) with notes on related species (Cryptodira: Testudinidae). *Journal of the Herpetological Association of Africa* 32: 10-16.

Boycott, R.C. 1988. *Homopus signatus cafer*: species account. In: W.R. Branch (ed.), *South African Red Data Book - Reptiles and Amphibians*, pp. 127-128. South African National Programme Report No. 151. CSIR, Pretoria.

Boycott, R.C. 1989. *Homopus signatus*. In: I.R. Swingland and M.W. Klemens (eds), *The Conservation Biology of Tortoises*, pp. 82-84. Occasional papers of the IUCN Species Survival Commission No. 5, Gland.

Boycott, R.C. and Bourquin, O. 2000. *The Southern African Tortoise Book: A Guide to Southern African Tortoises, Terrapins and Turtles*. O. Borquin, Hilton, South Africa.

Branch, W.R. 1998. *Field Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Southern Africa. Second Edition*. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

Branch, W.R. 2007. A new species of tortoise of the genus *Homopus* (Chelonia: Testudinidae) from southern Namibia. *African Journal of Herpetology* 56: 1-21.

Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Jackman, T. and Marais, J. 2007. Geographical distribution: *Homopus signatus* subsp. *African Herp News* 43: 26-27.

Daniels, S.R., Hofmeyr, M.D., Henen, B.T. and Baard, E.H.W. 2010. Systematics and phylogeography of a threatened tortoise, the speckled padloper. *Animal Conservation* 13: 237-246.

Griffin, M. 2003. Annotated Checklist and Provisional National Conservation Status of Namibian Reptiles. Namibia Wissenschlaftliche Gesellschaft (NWG), Windhoek.

IUCN. 2017. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-1. Available at: www.iucnredlist.org.

Iverson, J.B. 1992. *A Revised Checklist with Distribution Maps of the Turtles of the World*. Privately published, Richmond, Indiana.

Loehr, V.J.T. 2008. The Ecology of the World's Smallest Tortoise, *Homopus signatus signatus*: Effects of Rainfall. Ph.D. thesis, University of the Western Cape, Bellville.

Mertens, R. 1955. Die amphibien und reptilien Sü dwestafrikas. *Abhandlungen der Senckenbergische Naturforschende Gesellschaft* 490: 1-172.

Mertens, R. 1971. Die Herpetofauna Sü dwest-Afrikas. *Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen naturforschenden Gesellschaft* 529: 1-110.

Midgley, G.F., Chapman, R.A., Hewitson, B., Johnston, P., de Wit, M., Ziervogel, G., Mukheibir, P., *et al.* 2005. A Status Quo, Vulnerability and Adaptation Assessment of the Physical and Socio-economic effects

of Climate Change in the Western Cape. Report to the Western Cape Government, Cape Town, South Africa. CSIR Report No. ENV-S-C 2005-073, Stellenbosch, South Africa.

# Citation

Baard, E.H.W. & Hofmeyr, M.D. 2017. *Homopus signatus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017*: e.T10241A110342315. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-1.RLTS.T10241A110342315.en</u>

## Disclaimer

To make use of this information, please check the <u>Terms of Use</u>.

## **External Resources**

For Images and External Links to Additional Information, please see the Red List website.

# Appendix

# Habitats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

| Habitat  | Season   | Suitability | Major<br>Importance? |
|--|----------|-------------|----------------------|
| 0. Root -> 6. Rocky areas (eg. inland cliffs, mountain peaks)          | Resident | Suitable    | Yes                  |
| 3. Shrubland -> 3.8. Shrubland - Mediterranean-type Shrubby Vegetation | Resident | Suitable    | Yes                  |
| 3. Shrubland -> 3.5. Shrubland - Subtropical/Tropical Dry              | Resident | Suitable    | Yes                  |

## Threats

#### (http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

| Threat  | Timing    | Scope   | Severity                         | Impact Score |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Residential & commercial development -> 1.1.<br>Housing & urban areas  | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Negligible declines              | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem s  | stresses -> 1.1. Ecosysten       | n conversion |
|   |           | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.2. Ecosystem degradation   |                                  |              |
| 11. Climate change & severe weather -> 11.2.<br>Droughts  | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Causing/could cause fluctuations | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem s  | stresses -> 1.1. Ecosysten       | n conversion |
|   |           | 2. Species Stresses -> 2.3. Indirect species effects -> 2.3.7. Reduced reproductive success |                                  |              |
| <ol> <li>Climate change &amp; severe weather -&gt; 11.3.</li> <li>Temperature extremes</li> </ol>                     | Future    | Unknown   | Causing/could cause fluctuations | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.3. Indirect ecosystem effects                                    |                                  |              |
|   |           | 2. Species Stresses -> 2.1. Species mortality   |                                  |              |
| 2. Agriculture & aquaculture -> 2.1. Annual & perennial non-timber crops -> 2.1.2. Small-holder farming               | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Causing/could cause fluctuations | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.1. Ecosystem conversion  |                                  |              |
|   |           | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.2. Ecosystem degradation   |                                  |              |
| 2. Agriculture & aquaculture -> 2.3. Livestock farming & ranching -> 2.3.1. Nomadic grazing                           | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Slow, significant<br>declines    | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.1. Ecosystem conversion  |                                  |              |
|   |           | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.2. Ecosystem degradation   |                                  |              |
| 2. Agriculture & aquaculture -> 2.3. Livestock farming & ranching -> 2.3.2. Small-holder grazing, ranching or farming | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Slow, significant<br>declines    | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.1. Ecosystem conversion  |                                  |              |
|   |           | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.2. Ecosystem degradation   |                                  |              |
| 3. Energy production & mining -> 3.2. Mining & quarrying  | Ongoing   | Unknown   | Slow, significant<br>declines    | Unknown      |
|   | Stresses: | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.1. Ecosystem conversion  |                                  |              |
|   |           | 1. Ecosystem stresses -> 1.2. Ecosystem degradation   |                                  |              |

### **Conservation Actions in Place**

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

| Conservation Actions in Place                               |
|---|
| In-Place Research, Monitoring and Planning                  |
| Action Recovery plan: No                                    |
| Systematic monitoring scheme: No                            |
| In-Place Land/Water Protection and Management               |
| Conservation sites identified: Unknown                      |
| Occur in at least one PA: Yes                               |
| In-Place Education  |
| Included in international legislation: Yes                  |
| Subject to any international management/trade controls: Yes |

### **Conservation Actions Needed**

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

1. Land/water protection -> 1.1. Site/area protection

1. Land/water protection -> 1.2. Resource & habitat protection

2. Land/water management -> 2.1. Site/area management

3. Species management -> 3.1. Species management -> 3.1.1. Harvest management

3. Species management -> 3.2. Species recovery

3. Species management -> 3.4. Ex-situ conservation -> 3.4.1. Captive breeding/artificial propagation

4. Education & awareness -> 4.3. Awareness & communications

5. Law & policy -> 5.4. Compliance and enforcement -> 5.4.1. International level

5. Law & policy -> 5.4. Compliance and enforcement -> 5.4.3. Sub-national level

6. Livelihood, economic & other incentives -> 6.5. Non-monetary values

### **Research Needed**

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

#### **Research Needed**

1. Research -> 1.1. Taxonomy

1. Research -> 1.2. Population size, distribution & trends

| Research Needed   |
|---|
| 1. Research -> 1.3. Life history & ecology                    |
| 2. Conservation Planning -> 2.1. Species Action/Recovery Plan |
| 3. Monitoring -> 3.1. Population trends                       |
| 3. Monitoring -> 3.4. Habitat trends                          |

# **Additional Data Fields**

Г

| Distribution  |
|---|
| Estimated area of occupancy (AOO) (km <sup>2</sup> ): 2730        |
| Continuing decline in area of occupancy (AOO): Yes                |
| Extreme fluctuations in area of occupancy (AOO): No               |
| Estimated extent of occurrence (EOO) (km <sup>2</sup> ): 97213    |
| Continuing decline in extent of occurrence (EOO): Yes             |
| Extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence (EOO): No            |
| Number of Locations: 4-6  |
| Continuing decline in number of locations: Unknown                |
| Extreme fluctuations in the number of locations: No               |
| Lower elevation limit (m): 0                                      |
| Upper elevation limit (m): 1000                                   |
| Population  |
| Continuing decline of mature individuals: Yes                     |
| Extreme fluctuations: No  |
| Population severely fragmented: No                                |
| No. of subpopulations: 3  |
| Continuing decline in subpopulations: Yes                         |
| All individuals in one subpopulation: No                          |
| Habitats and Ecology  |
| Continuing decline in area, extent and/or quality of habitat: Yes |
| Generation Length (years): 25                                     |
| Movement patterns: Not a Migrant                                  |

### The IUCN Red List Partnership



The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species<sup>™</sup> is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species</u> <u>Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>.

The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens</u> <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.